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SUMMARY In this paper, we propose two algorithms, B-N2N and BN2S, that solve the node-to-node and node-to-set disjoint paths problems in the bicube, respectively. We prove their correctness and that the time complexities of the B-N2N and B-N2S algorithms are $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ and $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$, respectively, if they are applied in an $n$-dimensional bicube with $n \geq 5$. Also, we prove that the maximum lengths of the paths generated by B-N2N and B-N2S are both $n+2$. Furthermore, we have shown that the algorithms can be applied in the locally twisted cube, too, with the same performance. key words: bicube, hypercube, interconnection network, locally twisted cube, massively parallel system, topology

## 1. Introduction

The hypercube [1] was once a very popular topology for interconnection networks of massively parallel systems, and it has many variants. The bicube [2] is such a topology and it attracts much attention [3]-[7] because it can interconnect the same number of nodes with the same degree as the hypercube while its diameter is almost half of that of the hypercube. In addition, the bicube preserves the property of node symmetry.

In this paper, we propose two algorithms, B-N2N and B-N2S, that solve the node-to-node and node-to-set disjoint paths problems in the bicube, respectively. There is a generic algorithm [8] that solves the problems in cube-based topologies. If we apply it to the problems in an $n$-dimensional bicube ( $n \geq 3$ ), we can generate $n$ node-disjoint paths whose lengths are at most $2 n-1$ in $O\left(n^{4}\right)$ time for both problems. On the other hand, B-N2N generates $n$ node-disjoint paths of lengths at most $n+2$ in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time while $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ generates $n$ node-disjoint paths of lengths at most $n+2$ in $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ time. B-N2N and B-N2S use the algorithm proposed by Bossard and Kaneko [9], which we call H-N2S, because a bicube consists of two hypercubes with bijective or one-to-one edges between them. H-N2S solves the node-to-set disjoint paths problem in the hypercube. Our algorithms, BN 2 N and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{~S}$, can be applied in the locally twisted cube with the same performance because a locally twisted cube also consists of two hypercubes with bijective edges between them [10].

[^0]Given a source node $s$ and a destination node $\boldsymbol{d}$ in a $k$-connected graph, the node-to-node disjoint paths problem is to generate $k$ paths $U_{i}: \boldsymbol{s} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ such that $U_{i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are node-disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{s}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$. In addition, given a source node $s$ and a set of $k$ destination nodes $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}\right.$, $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{k}\right\}$ in a $k$-connected graph, the node-to-set disjoint paths problem is to generate $k$ paths $U_{i}: s \sim \boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq$ $k)$ such that $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are node-disjoint except for $s$. The node-to-node disjoint paths problem [11]-[16] and the node-to-set disjoint paths problem [8], [9], [17]-[23] are important issues in parallel and distributed computation as well as the set-to-set disjoint paths problem [18], [24]-[28]: given a set of $k$ source nodes $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$ and a set of $k$ destination nodes $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{k}\right\}$ in a $k$-connected graph, the set-to-set disjoint paths problem is to generate $k$ paths $U_{i}$ : $\boldsymbol{s}_{i} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{j_{i}}\left(1 \leq i \leq k,\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k}\right\}=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}\right)$ such that $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are node-disjoint. Generating disjoint paths in a massively parallel system has many applications. For example, multiple pairs of nodes can establish the fullbandwidth communication over a network simultaneously by using the circuit switching. The circuit switching provides an optimal data transfer performance because it does not require any switching inside the routers of intermediate nodes. Also, the circuit switching does not allow any interference with other communications, ensuring security and privacy. The studies of the node-disjoint paths problems with respect to some cube-based topologies are summarized in Table 1.

In the rest of this paper, we use 'disjoint' instead of node-disjoint' for simplicity.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give the definitions of related topics and the properties of the bicube. Generally, we adopt the notations and terminology from the traditional graph theory. For example, a path in a graph $G(V, E)$ is an alternate sequence of nodes and edges: $\boldsymbol{u}_{1},\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right), \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{l-1},\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{l-1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{l}\right), \boldsymbol{u}_{l}$ for $\boldsymbol{u}_{i} \in V(1 \leq$ $i \leq l)$, and we use a shorthand $\boldsymbol{u}_{1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_{l}$ or $\boldsymbol{u}_{1} \leadsto \boldsymbol{u}_{l}$ if the intermediate nodes are not important. The length of a path is the number of edges included in the path. Let us consider two paths $P: \boldsymbol{u} \leadsto \boldsymbol{v}$ and $Q: \boldsymbol{x} \leadsto \boldsymbol{y}$. Then, if $P$ and $Q$ do not have any common node, they are disjoint If $P$ and $Q$ do not have any common node except for $\boldsymbol{u}(=\boldsymbol{x})$, they are disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{u}(=\boldsymbol{x})$. If $P$ and $Q$ do not have any common node except for $\boldsymbol{u}(=\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{v}(=\boldsymbol{y})$, they are

Table 1 Time complexities and maximum path lengths of node-disjoint paths routing algorithms for constructing $n$ disjoint paths in $n$-dimensional cube-based topologies.

| topology | diameter | node-to-node |  | node-to-set |  | set-to-set |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | time | length | time | length | time | length |
| Hypercube | $n$ | $O\left(n^{2}\right)[11]$ | $n+1$ [11] | $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ [9] | $n+1$ [9] | $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)[18]$ | $2 n$ [18] |
| Bicube | $\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil^{\dagger}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Locally Twisted Cube | $\lceil(n+3) / 2\rceil^{\ddagger}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Twisted Cube | $\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$ | - | $\lceil n / 2\rceil+2^{\S}[13]$ | - | - | - | - |
| Crossed Cube | $\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$ | $O\left(n^{2}\right)[12]$ | $3 n-5$ [12] | - | - | - | - |
| Twisted Crossed Cube | $\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$ | $O\left(n^{2}\right)[16]$ | $4 n-8$ [16] | - | - | - | - |
| 0-Möbius Cube | $\lceil(n+2) / 2\rceil$ | $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ [15] | $3 n-5$ [15] | $O\left(n^{4}\right)$ [22] | $2 n-1$ [22] | $O\left(n^{6}\right)[27]$ | $2 n-2$ [27] |
| 1-Möbius Cube | $\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$ | $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ [15] | $3 n-5$ [15] | $O\left(n^{4}\right)$ [22] | $2 n-1$ [22] | $O\left(n^{6}\right)$ [27] | $2 n-2$ [27] |

disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{u}(=\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{v}(=\boldsymbol{y})$.
Definition 1: An $n$-dimensional hypercube, $H_{n}$, is an undirected graph whose node set is $\{0,1\}^{n}$. Given two nodes $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ in $H_{n}, \boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ are neighboring if and only if $h(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})=1$ where $h(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$ represents the Hamming distance between $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$.

The number of nodes and the diameter of $H_{n}$ are $2^{n}$ and $n$, respectively. $H_{n}$ is symmetric and its degree is $n$. $H_{n}$ has a recursive structure such that it consists of two $H_{n-1}$ 's. Also, $H_{n}$ has a shortest-path routing algorithm SPR that generates one of the shortest paths between any pair of nodes whose length is at most $n$ in $O(n)$ time.

Definition 2: Given a bit sequence $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.u_{1}\right)\left(\in\{0,1\}^{n}\right)$, define a function $p(\boldsymbol{u})$ by $p(\boldsymbol{u})=u_{n} \oplus$ $u_{n-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus u_{1}$ where ' $\oplus$ ' represents the exclusive-or operation: $0 \oplus 0=1 \oplus 1=0$ and $1 \oplus 0=0 \oplus 1=1$. Then, given a pair of bit sequences $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ with an even $n, \boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ are in lp-relation if and only if ' $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{v}$ and $p(\boldsymbol{u})=p(\boldsymbol{v})=0$ ' or ' $\boldsymbol{u}=\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}$ and $p(\boldsymbol{u})=p(\boldsymbol{v})=1$ '.

For instance, for two bit sequences $(0,1,1,0,0,1)$ and $(1,0,0,1,1,0)$, they are in lp-relation because $(0,1,1,0,0,1)=(\overline{1,0,0,1,1,0})$ and $p(0,1,1,0,0,1)=$ $p(1,0,0,1,1,0)=1$.
Definition 3: An $n$-dimensional bicube, $B_{n}(n \geq 3)$, is an undirected graph whose node set is $\{0,1\}^{n}$. Given a node $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$ in $B_{n}$, it has $n$ neighboring nodes $\boldsymbol{u}^{(i)}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ where $\boldsymbol{u}^{(i)}(1 \leq i \leq$ $n-1)$ are given by $\boldsymbol{u}^{(\overline{i)}}=\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_{i+1}, \overline{u_{i}}, u_{i-1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, u_{1}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}^{(n)}$ is given depending on the parity of $n$. That is, if $n$ is odd, $\boldsymbol{u}^{(n)}=\left(\overline{u_{n}}, v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, \ldots, v_{1}\right)$, where $\left(v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, \ldots, v_{1}\right)$ is the bit sequence that is in lp-relation with $\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n-2}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$. If $n$ is even, $\boldsymbol{u}^{(n)}=$ $\left(\overline{u_{n}}, u_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, \ldots, v_{1}\right)$ where $\left(v_{n-2}, v_{n-3}, \ldots, v_{1}\right)$ is the bit sequence that is in lp-relation with $\left(u_{n-2}, u_{n-3}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$. Note that $\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(i)}\right)^{(j)}(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ is denoted by $\boldsymbol{u}^{(i, j)}$ in short.

As an example, $B_{5}$ is shown in Fig. 1. $B_{n}$ has the following properties [2]. The number of nodes and the diameter of $B_{n}$ are $2^{n}$ and $\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil(n \geq 7)$, respectively. The diameters of $B_{3}, B_{4}, B_{5}$, and $B_{6}$ are 3,


Fig. 1 Example of a 5-dimensional bicube, $B_{5}$.

4,4 , and 5 , respectively. $B_{n}$ is a node-symmetric graph whose degree is $n$. Because the bicube is bipartite, it does not include any cycle with an odd length. Now, let $B_{n}^{0}$ and $B_{n}^{1}$ be the subgraphs induced by the node sets $\left\{\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_{1}\right) \mid u_{n}=0\right\}\left(\subset V\left(B_{n}\right)\right)$ and $\left\{\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\ldots, u_{1}\right) \mid u_{n}=1\right\}\left(\subset V\left(B_{n}\right)\right)$, respectively. Then, $B_{n}^{0}$ and $B_{n}^{1}$ are both isomorphic to an $(n-1)$-dimensional hypercube, $H_{n-1}$. In other words, $B_{n}$ consists of two $H_{n-1}$ 's. The left and right subgraphs, $B_{5}^{0}$ and $B_{5}^{1}$, form two distinct $H_{4}$ 's in Fig. 1 , in which $(0,0,1,1,1)\left(\in B_{5}^{0}\right)$ is connected to $(1,1,0,0,0)\left(\in B_{5}^{1}\right)$ while it is connected to $(1,0,1,1,1)$ in a 5-dimensional hypercube, $H_{5}$, for example.
Lemma 1: For a node $\boldsymbol{u} \in V\left(B_{n}^{b}\right)(b \in\{0,1\})$, there are $n$ paths from $\boldsymbol{u}$ such that the other terminal nodes are included in $V\left(B_{n}^{\bar{b}}\right)$, the paths are disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{u}$, and their lengths are at most 2 .
(Proof) We can generate $n$ paths $L_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ as follows:

$$
L_{i}: \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{u} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}^{(i)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}^{(i, n)} & (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ \boldsymbol{u} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}^{(n)} & (i=n) .\end{cases}
$$

Then, the path lengths are at most 2. $\boldsymbol{u}^{(i, n)}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}^{(n)}$ are included in $V\left(B_{n}^{\bar{b}}\right)$. Because $\boldsymbol{u}^{(i)}(1 \leq i \leq$
$n-1$ ) and $\boldsymbol{u}^{(n)}$ are distinct neighboring nodes of $\boldsymbol{u}$, and $B_{n}^{b}$ and $B_{n}^{\bar{b}}$ are connected by one-to-one edges, the paths are disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{u}$.

## 3. B-N2N Algorithm

In this section, we describe our algorithm B-N2N that solves the node-to-node disjoint paths problem in an $n$-dimensional bicube, $B_{n}$. Let $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ be the source node and the destination node, respectively. For $n$ with $3 \leq n \leq 4, B_{n}$ is isomorphic to $H_{n}$. Hence, it is trivial to find $n$ paths between $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ that are disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{s}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time, whose lengths are at most $n+1$ by using the algorithm proposed by Saad and Schultz [11]. Thus, we assume that $n \geq 5$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $s \in V\left(B_{n}^{0}\right)$. Then B-N2N is divided into two cases depending on the position of the destination node.

### 3.1 B-N2N Case $1\left(\boldsymbol{d} \in V\left(B_{n}^{0}\right)\right)$

Step 1 Apply H-N2S in $B_{n}^{0}$ to generate $(n-1)$ paths $P_{i}$ : $\boldsymbol{s} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ that are disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{s}$.
Step 2 Select $(n-1)$ edges $\boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$.
Step 3 Select two edges $\boldsymbol{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{s}^{(n)}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}^{(\bar{n})} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}$.
Step 4 Apply SPR in $B_{n}^{1}$ to generate the shortest path $R$ : $\boldsymbol{s}^{(n)} \sim \boldsymbol{d}^{(n)}$ (Fig. 2).


Fig. 2 After Step 4 of B-N2N Case 1

Step 5 Construct $n$ paths, $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, that are disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{s}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ as follows:

$$
U_{i}: \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{s} \stackrel{P_{i}}{\sim} \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d} & (1 \leq i \leq n-1) \\ \boldsymbol{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{s}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{R} \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d} & (i=n)\end{cases}
$$

### 3.2 B-N2N Case $2\left(\boldsymbol{d} \in V\left(B_{n}^{1}\right)\right)$

Step 1 Select the edge $s \rightarrow \boldsymbol{s}^{(n)}$.
Step 2 Apply SPR in $B_{n}^{1}$ to generate the shortest path $R$ : $\boldsymbol{s}^{(n)} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}$. Let $\boldsymbol{d}^{(l)}$ be the neighboring node of $\boldsymbol{d}$ that is included in $R$.
Step 3 Apply H-N2S in $B_{n}^{0}$ to generate ( $n-1$ ) paths, $P_{i}$ $(1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n)$, that are disjoint except for $s$ as follows:

$$
P_{i}: \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{s} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}^{(i, n)} & (1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n-1) \\ \boldsymbol{s} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} & (i=n)\end{cases}
$$

Step 4 Select $(n-2)$ paths $Q_{i}: \boldsymbol{d}^{(i, n)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}(1 \leq$ $i(\neq l) \leq n-1)$ of length 2 and a path $Q_{n}: \boldsymbol{d}^{(n)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}$ of length 1 (Fig. 3).


Fig. 3 After Step 4 of B-N2N Case 2

Step 5 Construct $n$ paths, $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, that are disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{s}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ as follows:

$$
U_{i}: \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{s} \xrightarrow{P_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}^{(i, n)} \xrightarrow{Q_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{Q_{i}} \boldsymbol{d} & (1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n-1) \\ \boldsymbol{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{s}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{R} \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{R} \boldsymbol{d} & (i=l) \\ \boldsymbol{s} \xrightarrow{P_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{Q_{i}} \boldsymbol{d} & (i=n)\end{cases}
$$

## 4. B-N2S Algorithm

In this section, we describe our algorithm B-N2S that solves the node-to-set disjoint paths problem in an $n$-dimensional bicube, $B_{n}$. Let $s$ be the source node and $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right\}$ be the set of $n$ destination nodes. For $n$ with $3 \leq n \leq 4$, $B_{n}$ is isomorphic to $H_{n}$. Hence, it is trivial to find $n$ paths $s \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ that are disjoint except for $s$ in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time, whose lengths are at most $n+1$ by using the algorithm proposed by Bossard and Kaneko [9]. Thus, we assume that $n \geq 5$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $s \in$ $V\left(B_{n}^{0}\right)$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right\} \cap V\left(B_{n}^{0}\right)=\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{l}\right\}$. If $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right\} \cap V\left(B_{n}^{0}\right)=\emptyset$, we execute the steps as $l=0$. Then B-N2S is divided into two cases depending on the distribution of the destination nodes.

### 4.1 B-N2S Case $1(l=n)$

Step 1 Apply H-N2S in $B_{n}^{0}$ to generate $(n-1)$ paths $P_{i}$ : $\boldsymbol{s} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ that are disjoint except for $\boldsymbol{s}$.
Step 2 If $\boldsymbol{d}_{n}$ is included in one of the paths generated in Step 1, say $P_{x}: \boldsymbol{s} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{x}$, let $P_{x}: \boldsymbol{s} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{n}$ by discarding the subpath $\boldsymbol{d}_{n} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{x}$, and exchange the indices of $\boldsymbol{d}_{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{n}$.
Step 3 Select two edges $\boldsymbol{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{s}^{(n)}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{n}^{(n)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}_{n}$.
Step 4 Apply SPR in $B_{n}^{1}$ to generate the shortest path $R$ : $\boldsymbol{s}^{(n)} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{n}^{(n)}$ (Fig. 4).
Step 5 Construct $n$ paths, $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, that are disjoint


Fig. 4 After Step 4 of B-N2S Case 1
except for $s$ as follows:

$$
U_{i}: \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{s} \stackrel{P_{i}}{\lessgtr} \boldsymbol{d}_{i} & (1 \leq i \leq n-1) \\ \boldsymbol{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{s}^{(n)} \stackrel{R}{\sim} \boldsymbol{d}_{i}^{(n)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}_{i} & (i=n)\end{cases}
$$

### 4.2 B-N2S Case $2(l<n)$

Step 1 For each node of $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}(l+1 \leq i \leq n)$, find a path $Q_{i}$ : $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}^{\prime}\left(\in V\left(B_{n}^{0}\right)\right) \sim \boldsymbol{d}_{i}$ of lengths at most 2 such that it is disjoint from other paths $Q_{j}(l+1 \leq j(\neq i) \leq n)$ and does not include destination nodes $\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{l}$.
Step 2 Select the edge $s \rightarrow \boldsymbol{s}^{(n)}$.
Step 3 Apply SPR in $B_{n}^{1}$ to generate the shortest path $R$ : $\boldsymbol{s}^{(n)} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{n}$.
Step 4 If the path $R$ does not include any node on the paths generated in Step 1, go to Step 5. Otherwise, let $\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_{x}$ be the closest one to $\boldsymbol{s}^{(n)}$ along $R$. Also, let $Q_{x}: \boldsymbol{d}_{x}^{\prime} \leadsto \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_{x} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{x}$ be the path to which $\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_{x}$ belongs (Fig. 5). Then, discard the subpath $\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_{x} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{n}$ of $R$,


Fig. 5 During Step 4 of B-N2S Case 2
and select $R: \boldsymbol{s}^{(n)} \leadsto \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_{x} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{x}$. Also, exchange the indices of $Q_{x}$ and $Q_{n}$, the indices of $\boldsymbol{d}_{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{n}$, and the indices of $\boldsymbol{d}_{x}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{n}^{\prime}$.
Step 5 Discard the path $Q_{n}$ (Fig. 6).
Step 6 Apply H-N2S in $B_{n}^{0}$ to generate $(n-1)$ paths $P_{i}$ : $\boldsymbol{s} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l)$ and $P_{i}: s \sim \boldsymbol{d}_{i}^{\prime}(l+1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ that are disjoint except for $s$.
Step 7 Construct $n$ paths, $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, that are disjoint except for $s$ as follows:


Fig. 6 After Step 5 of B-N2S Case 2

$$
U_{i}: \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{s} \xrightarrow{P_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}_{i} & (1 \leq i \leq l) \\ \boldsymbol{s} \xrightarrow[\sim]{P_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}_{i}^{\prime} \underset{\sim}{Q_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}_{i} & (l+1 \leq i \leq n-1) \\ \boldsymbol{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{s}^{(n)} \stackrel{R}{\sim} \boldsymbol{d}_{i} & (i=n)\end{cases}
$$

## 5. Correctness and Complexities

Lemma 2: For a source node $s$ and a set of $n$ destination nodes $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right\}$ in an $n$-dimensional hypercube, the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ algorithm by Bossard and Kaneko [9] generates $n$ paths from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of lengths at most $n+1$ that are disjoint except for $s$ in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.
(Proof) From [9].
Lemma 3: In Case 1, for a source node $s$ and a destination node $\boldsymbol{d}$ in an $n$-dimensional bicube with $n \geq 5$, B-N2N takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to generate $n$ paths from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{d}$ of lengths at most $n+1$ that are disjoint except for $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$.
(Proof) In Step 1, H-N2S takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to generate ( $n-1$ ) paths $P_{i}: s \sim \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ of lengths at most $n$ that are disjoint except for $s$ from Lemma 2. In Step 2, it takes $O(n)$ time to select $(n-1)$ edges. In Step 3, it takes $O(1)$ time to select two edges. In Step 4, SPR takes $O(n)$ time to generate the shortest path whose length is at most $n-1$. The total time complexity of B-N2N in Case 1 is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, and the maximum path length is $n+1$. The paths $U_{i}: s \xrightarrow{P_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are disjoint except for $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ because $P_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are generated by H-N2S. The path $U_{n}$ is disjoint from other paths $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ except for $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ because it is outside of $B_{n}^{0}$ other than $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$.

Lemma 4: In Case 2, for a source node $s$ and a destination node $\boldsymbol{d}$ in an $n$-dimensional bicube with $n \geq 5$, B-N2N takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to generate $n$ paths from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{d}$ of lengths at most $n+2$ that are disjoint except for $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$.
(Proof) In Step 1, it takes $O(1)$ time to select the edge. In Step 2, SPR takes $O(n)$ time to generate the shortest path $R$ of length at most $n-1$. It takes $O(1)$ time to find $l$ of $\boldsymbol{d}^{(l)}$. In Step 3, H-N2S takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to generate $(n-1)$ paths $P_{i}(1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n)$ of lengths at most $n$ that are disjoint except for $s$ from Lemma 2. In Step 4, it takes $O(n)$ time to generate $Q_{i}(1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n)$ of lengths at most 2 . The total time complexity of B-N2N in Case 2 is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, and the maximum path length is $n+2 . U_{l}$ is disjoint from other $U_{i}$
$(1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n)$ except for $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ because it is outside of $B_{n}^{0}$ other than $s$ and it does not include any neighboring node of $\boldsymbol{d}$ except for $\boldsymbol{d}^{(l)}$. $U_{i}(1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n)$ are disjoint except for $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ among others because $P_{i}(1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n)$ are generated by $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $Q_{i}(1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n)$ include distinct nodes $\boldsymbol{d}^{(i, n)}(1 \leq i(\neq l) \leq n-1)$ and $\boldsymbol{d}^{(i)}(1 \leq i(\neq$ $l) \leq n)$. Consequently, $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are disjoint except for $s$ and $d$.

Theorem 1: For a source node $s$ and a destination node $d$ in an $n$-dimensional bicube with $n \geq 5$, the B-N2N algorithm takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time and it generates $n$ paths from $s$ to $\boldsymbol{d}$ of lengths at most $n+2$ that are disjoint except for $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$. (Proof) From Lemmas 3 and 4.

Lemma 5: In Case 1, for a source node $s$ and a set of $n$ destination nodes $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right\}$ in an $n$-dimensional bicube with $n \geq 5$, B-N2S takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to generate $n$ paths from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of lengths at most $n+1$ that are disjoint except for $s$.
(Proof) In Step 1, H-N2S takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to generate ( $n-1$ ) paths $P_{i}: s \sim \boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ of lengths at most $n$ that are disjoint except for $s$ from Lemma 2. In Step 2, it takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to check if $\boldsymbol{d}_{n}$ is included in one of the paths generated in Step 1. It takes $O(n)$ time to discard the subpath and exchange the indices of $\boldsymbol{d}_{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{n}$. In Step 3, it takes $O(1)$ time to select two edges. In Step 4, SPR takes $O(n)$ time to generate the shortest path whose length is at most $n-1$. The total time complexity of B-N2S in Case 1 is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, and the maximum path length is $n+1$. The paths $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are disjoint except for $s$ because they are generated by H-N2S. The path $U_{n}$ is disjoint from other paths $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ except for $s$ because it is outside of $B_{n}^{0}$ other than $s$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{n}$.

Lemma 6: In Step 1 of Case 2, B-N2S can find the path $Q_{i}$ for each destination node $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}(l+1 \leq i \leq n)$.
(Proof) From Lemma 1, there are $n$ candidate paths for $Q_{i}$. Destination nodes $\boldsymbol{d}_{j}(1 \leq j \leq l$ or $i+1 \leq j \leq n)$ can block at most one of them. Also, each of the paths $Q_{j}: \boldsymbol{d}_{j}^{\prime} \leadsto \boldsymbol{d}_{j}$ ( $l+1 \leq j \leq i-1$ ) can block at most one of the candidate paths because there is no cycle of length 3 in $B_{n}$. Note that because $B_{n}^{0}$ and $B_{n}^{1}$ are connected by bijective edges, the edge $\boldsymbol{d}_{j}^{(n)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{d}_{j}$ of $Q_{j}$ cannot block two candidate paths at a time. Hence, B-N2S can find at least one of $n$ candidates for $Q_{i}$.
Lemma 7: In Case 2, for a source node $s$ and a set of $n$ destination nodes $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right\}$ in an $n$-dimensional bicube with $n \geq 5$, B-N2S takes $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ time to generate $n$ paths from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of lengths at most $n+2$ that are disjoint except for $s$.
(Proof) In Step 1, it takes $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ time to find $Q_{i}$ ( $l+1 \leq i \leq n$ ) of lengths at most 2 by using a balanced binary search tree. In Step 2, it takes $O(1)$ time to select the edge. In Step 3, SPR takes $O(n)$ time to generate $R$ of length at most $n-1$. In Step 4, it takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to check if $R$ includes a node on the paths generated in Step 1. It takes $O(n)$ time to find $\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_{x}$ and discard the subpath, update
$R$, and exchange the indices. In Step 5, it takes $O(1)$ to discard $Q_{n}$. In Step 6, H-N2S takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to generate $P_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ of lengths at most $n$ from Lemma 2. The total time complexity of B-N2S in Case 2 is $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$, and the maximum path length is $n+2 . U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l)$ are disjoint among others except for $s$ because they are generated by H-N2S. Also, they are disjoint from $U_{j}(l+1 \leq j \leq n-1)$ except for $s$ because $P_{j}(l+1 \leq j \leq n-1)$ are generated by H-N2S and $Q_{j}(l+1 \leq j \leq n-1)$ are outside of $B_{n}^{0}$ other than $\boldsymbol{d}_{j}^{\prime}$. Moreover, the paths are disjoint from $U_{n}$ except for $s$ because it is outside of $B_{n}^{0}$ other than $s . U_{i}$ $(l+1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are disjoint among others except for $s$ because $P_{i}(l+1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are generated by H-N2S and $Q_{i}(l+1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are generated in Step 1 such that they are disjoint. In addition, the paths are disjoint from $U_{n}$ except for $s$ because $R$ is outside of $B_{n}^{0}$ other than $s$, and it is generated such that it is ensured to be disjoint from $Q_{i}$ $(l+1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ in Step 4. Consequently, $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are disjoint except for $s$.

Theorem 2: For a source node $s$ and a set of $n$ destination nodes $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right\}$ in an $n$-dimensional bicube with $n \geq 5$, the B-N2S algorithm takes $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ time and it generates $n$ paths from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of lengths at most $n+2$ that are disjoint except for $s$.
(Proof) From Lemmas 5 and 7.
Because an $n$-dimensional locally twisted cube consists of two ( $n-1$ )-dimensional hypercubes with bijective edges between them, it is trivial that we can apply B-N2N and BN 2 S in the locally twisted cube with the same performance.

Definition 4: An $n$-dimensional locally twisted cube, $L T_{n}$ ( $n \geq 3$ ), is an undirected graph whose node set is $\{0,1\}^{n}$. Given a node $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$ in $L T_{n}$, it has $n$ neighboring nodes $\boldsymbol{u}^{(i)}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ where $\boldsymbol{u}^{(i)}=$ $\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}, \ldots,\left(u_{i+1} \oplus u_{n}\right), \overline{u_{i}}, u_{i-1}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq$ $n-2), \boldsymbol{u}^{(n-1)}=\left(u_{n}, \overline{u_{n-1}}, u_{n-2}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$, and $\boldsymbol{u}^{(n)}=$ $\left(\overline{u_{n}}, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$.

Theorem 3: For a source node $s$ and a destination node $\boldsymbol{d}$ in an $n$-dimensional locally twisted cube with $n \geq 5$, the B-N2N algorithm takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time and it generates $n$ paths from $s$ to $\boldsymbol{d}$ of lengths at most $n+2$ that are disjoint except for $s$ and $d$.
(Proof) From Theorem 1.
Theorem 4: For a source node $s$ and a set of $n$ destination nodes $\left\{\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right\}$ in an $n$-dimensional locally twisted cube with $n \geq 5$, the B-N2S algorithm takes $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ time and it generates $n$ paths from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of lengths at most $n+2$ that are disjoint except for $s$.
(Proof) From Theorem 2.

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed two algorithms, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{~N}$ and B-N2S, that solve the node-to-node and node-to-set disjoint
paths problems in the bicube, respectively. We have proved the correctness of the algorithms. We have also proved that the time complexities of the B-N2N and B-N2S algorithms are $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ and $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$, respectively, and the maximum path lengths are both $n+2$ if they are applied in an $n$ dimensional bicube with $n \geq 5$. In addition, we have shown that the algorithms can be applied in the locally twisted cube with the same performance.

One of our future works is to check whether the bound of the path lengths $n+2$ is tight or not. Also, our future works include inventing an algorithm to solve the set-to-set disjoint paths problem in the bicube.
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